

LOUGHBOROUGH SCIENCE PARK

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS RELATING TO: SECTION 6 - LOUGHBOROUGH SCIENCE PARK - ALTERNATIVE SITES

QUESTION 21: If you disagree with our preference, please identify which alternative you prefer.

QUESTION 22: Please explain your reasons for choosing one of the alternatives.

Respondents	Summary of Comments	
RN03 J Agar	Consider brownfield sites within the East Midlands within reach of Loughborough and neighbouring universities in which to build a shared resource.	
RN08 N W King	Wymeswold is a brownfield site and with new roads could accommodate Science Park and housing.	
RN13 D Ayres	Wymesfield Airfield: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of brownfield land; • Possible to encourage other regional businesses and universities to participate in Science park. 	
RN14 D Liggins	Wymeswold airfield - Lack of environmentally respectful alternatives.	
RN15 M Birkinshaw	A 50ha science park should not take nearly 100ha. Snell's Nook Lane should be the western boundary and development should not spill into the National Forest	
RN23 A Rumsey	Wymeswold airfield and then Other: - No more expansion	
RN27 Dr A M Clarke	Wymeswold Airfield is preferred option if Loughborough not chosen as it has good access to Nottingham Universities and possible to improve roads (A6006).	
RN35 A Evans	Wymesfield airfield is a brownfield site ideal to use for a Science Park: Does not need to adjoin University. Nottingham University has campus at Sutton Bonington.	
RN37 N L Sharpe (and on behalf of R Truslove, Ms A Sharp)	South of Loughborough and then Wymeswold airfield: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unsure of impact on local infrastructure. Disagree with proposals if Science Park likely to increase congestion. • Do not include link road from A512 to A6 	
RN49 Mrs Lang	Wymeswold airfield - Sounds like a site already developed. Uninformed view as have not been there.	
RN56 A W G Ballentyne	Wymeswold airfield - Development of a brownfield site.	
RN89 P G Crowther	Develop empty property and land or brownfield sites in Loughborough rather than extending out into open countryside.	
RN154 J R Cowell	South of Loughborough - the impact on identities of Quorn and Loughborough could be argued for Shepshed. There is a lack of detail in plans so unfair to ask for alternatives	
RN197 R W Hill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is A512/A6 link road needed? • Why go beyond Snells Nook Lane 	
RN221 Friends of Charnwood Forest	Other - Land of East of Rearsby Bypass Map attached 1. Excellent access to M1 via A46 Bypass	

Respondents	Summary of Comments	
	2. 20 minutes from Loughborough University 3. Does not take from Charnwood Forest 4. Could be a joint science park with Leicester University and DeMontford University meeting EMDAs criteria 5. Good access to East Midlands Airport 6. Good employment area – history of good production engineering 7. Adequate land now and for the future.	
RN275 P Newman	South of A521 and then Wymeswold airfield – Unsure of the need for a science park.	
RN347 M Hagger	Wymeswold airfield is the ultimate Brownfield site, which won't encroach further towards the Charnwood.	
RN420 Andrew Lacey	Wymeswold airfield is a brownfield site and there are industrial units there already. After that consider south of Loughborough.	
RN461 R Hoyland	Develop Wymeswold airfield site as a new village community of sufficient size to support essential infrastructure.	
RN469 J Green	Other - Shepshed residents would welcome more businesses including a science park. This would help to improve the local economy and reduce the need for people to travel to Loughborough for work and shopping.	
RN471 R Guise	Other - No science park needed. There are already too many people in Charnwood.	
RN521 Parkers of Leicester & AJ Parker Agent: Development Land & Planning Consultants Ltd	Land to the south of Loughborough, whilst not physically linked to the University, would provide a highly sustainable, environmentally appropriate location for a second (or business park) Science Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree of infrastructure investment and environmental/landscape mitigation required by the western extension, would not be required here. • A Science Park would sit well within the local landscape. • Land west of Loughborough, south of the A512, is designated in the extant local plan as an area of particularly attractive countryside, the national forest, and a site of regional, county or district level, ecological or geological interest. The degree of harm to interests of acknowledged importance arising from the development of the Science Park, would be greater than if the Science Park were allocated at south of Loughborough. 	
RN549 P Hipkin	Other - Sutton Bonington – scope for Greenfield development in reach of university facilities in an area which needs more variety of employment. Preferred Options are too vague. The ecology of Holywell, Outwoods etc is threatened.	
RN603 Mr & Mrs R Jones	Wymeswold airfield is a large area of low use land suitable for housing and employment.	
RN614 Mr & Mrs E Harding	Wymeswold airfield is a mess and should be used.	
RN616 H D R Sharratt	Wymeswold airfield is reuse of land requiring development and can enhance services and villages to support an expanding village. Links to the M1 and Nottingham should be upgraded and negative impacts of noise and congestion minimized.	
RN617 C D R Sharratt	Wymeswold airfield and then South of A512: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feel proposed Science Park is unnecessarily big • Why is Garendon Park area no go? 	

Respondents	Summary of Comments	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wymeswold airfield could be further developed with infrastructure (new roads, buses etc). 	
RN661 A C Johnson	<p>Wymeswold airfield and then North of Loughborough:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Challenge the presumption that a science park is essential for the local economy. Must be properly justified. Once the case is made, a brownfield site close to the A46 is just as credible as show-horning it into Snell's Nook near the M1. Traffic? You've seen nothing yet in the Ashby Road area. Combined advantages of Wymeswold and the Prestwold Estate are just as compelling. Concern that council totally under the influence of everything the university believes is appropriate! 	
RN716 Mr & Mrs B Needham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extension proposed at Dishley Grange; Brownfield site at Wymeswold preferred to allow other Universities to participate. 	
RN719 P Murphy	<p>Opposed because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Greenfield site also part of National Forest that should be valued and appreciated as countryside and agricultural land First Science Park is not full. Other industrial sites in town are empty. Need not proven May not be high tech businesses to utilize site. First Science used for other activities including dairy and University/conference facilities University may buy site for student facilities and accommodation. 	
RN728 R Youngs	<p>May be acceptable, but strong reservations if road across Garendon Park. Should not extend further than Snells Nook Lane. Reduce land take for car parking by providing multi story provision. Need Green Travel Plan.</p>	
RN792 J Drummond Popley, C Drummond Popley, R J Drummond Popley	<p>South of Loughborough - because, although the development is called a Science Park, we believe that it will have nothing to connect it to Loughborough University. Initially, we were lead to believe that the Science Park was a Loughborough University initiative, but now that it is designated as Loughborough Science Park this does not seem to be the case. The close proximity of the University seems to be a smoke screen for building a new Industrial Estate.</p>	
RN801 F F Rawson on behalf of FFR Ultrasonics Ltd	<p>Wymeswold airfield and then North of A512:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also include general industrial land in this area as has the best transport links; any industrial development south of Loughborough will further over load Epinal Way. 	
RN809 R Seager	<p>South of Loughborough and then Wymeswold airfield: Identified within the document as providing needed employment to balance the neighbouring area. Good dual carriageway connection with M1. Closest to Railway Links.</p>	
RN811 C Watson	<p>Consider locating the Science Park:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Next to the Walkers crisp factory in Beaumont Leys; At J24 on the M1 – there will be no problem getting there when the motorway is widened to 8 lanes. 	
RN812 A Millie	<p>Other and then Wymeswold airfield:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Imaginative use of brownfield sites in Loughborough such as the area next to the railway station are the best location for the Science Park followed by Coalville, then Wymeswold - as long as it is sympathetically designed to fit in an essentially rural location. 	
RN816 S Cuff Nanpantan Residents' Network	<p>Wymeswold airfield and then other: Does not impact on Loughborough's western approach. If the demand really exists for a science park in our area, then organisations will be OK with Wymeswold. Wymeswold airfield has been the subject of debate for at least 30 years! Just do it!</p>	
RN821 L Emmitt	<p>Science park in Loughborough north of A512 would be preferable to around Snells Nook Lane, if principle is accepted.</p>	

Respondents	Summary of Comments	
RN894 D Scott	Land west of Loughborough (south of A512) and at Wymeswold could be used for mixed housing and technology industrial development. Locate additional land for industrial use to the East of Loughborough on the floodplain.	
RN895 J Pearson	Wymeswold airfield and then north of A512 - Make use of brownfield sites.	
RN897 D Mear	Makes real sense for the science park to be next to the university.	
RN903 T Davies	Wymeswold airfield - Congestion is bad on the A512 already.	
RN906 R I Porter	Wymeswold airfield – this type of business activity should suit village life well.	