

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Mark Newall	Yes	Yes	No	Policy		CC5			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
Section 4 of the SA outlines the housing options under consideration by the CC. Option A1 would minimise the need for travel but this has not been chosen. The chosen plan for housing will increase the need for travel between the sites designated for development and employment areas, yet there are no plans to mitigate these impacts through the provision of alternative transports options, specifically segregated cycle lanes and foot paths.	<p>Working with partners is unlikely to yield significant improvements to the sustainable travel infrastructure. Instead the onus should be placed on the housing developers, i.e.</p> <p>"New housing development will be required to build a segregated cycle path from all site entrances/exits to an existing segregated cycle path."</p> <p>For early developments this will be hard but will become much easier over the course of the plan as the number extend of the segregated cycle paths increases.</p>	No	