

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Andrea McDonald	No	No	No	Policy		Local Green Space conclusion for Leconfield 6 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021, Leconfield Road, Site A, pages 4-6			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>4(2) Not sound, not justified</p> <p>The conclusion for the Leconfield LGS designation is written to be dependent on a future event with an unknown outcome. It says</p> <p>if the current planning application was approved it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.</p> <p>This considers only one outcome following a specific event. What happens if the other occurs – if the planning application is refused? What happens if the planning application is never considered?</p> <p>The following analogy addresses this.</p> <p>In the dark, I am following a path that forks. If I go left, I fall over a cliff and die. If I go right, I reach my destination safely. The Council’s LGS conclusion only considers the left fork, 'I am not capable of enduring if I go left'. The alternative is not considered - that 'I am capable of enduring if I go right'. If I am on the path before I decide which way to go (or I can of course decide to go neither way and wait until light), am I capable of enduring? Obviously YES. This is where we are at now with considering this designation and so the site IS capable of enduring.</p> <p>Logically, then this must mean the Leconfield is currently 'capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period'.</p>	<p>Leconfield is currently 'capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period'. The conclusion must be changed to say it is capable of enduring and so be designated as LGS.</p>	<p>No</p>	