

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Andy Jackson	No	Yes	No	Policy		All of them			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>This is put out as a consultation when it is a presentation. One is a two way conversation of what might happen, options, discussions around suitability and local opinion, need, present infrastructure, present local development and its impact and the possibility of nothing happening if proven to against local wishes and local needs. The other is an outline of what is going to happen whether there is need or not. This is the latter.</p> <p>I have been involved in several of these 'legal requirements' and I know that the local residents in whatever locality is highlighted for these changes have no say. It is going to happen to them regardless of their wishes.</p> <p>I wish to register my distaste for the power of the developers, the building on green field sites, the destruction of green wedge and the eroding of the famed Leicestershire village life and character. None of this will make any difference because this is a presentation, and my objection will make no difference whatsoever. It doesn't detract from the truth that local people are being ignored in what is going to take place their locality.</p>	<p>My suggestions will carry no weight whatsoever, so it is a meaningless exercise.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>I would love to and have in the past, going into great legal and moral detail and my submission was given as much weight as a piece of toilet paper. It was another box ticking exercise that local government has listened to the voice of the people when in actual fact it does no such thing.</p>