

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr William David Coates	Yes	Yes	Yes	Paragraph	2.78, 2.81, 2.85				

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>Further to Areas HA15, 16 and 17, all are noted to be part of Charnwood Forest.</p> <p>Whereas HA16 and 17 propose planting of large canopy native species to enhance the relationship between the development and its woodland setting, HA15 does not. I support this because of the value of views to ancient woodland such as Outwoods and Mucklin Wood. In HA15 in particular the views of Mucklin Wood should be maintained from the many paths which are well used, from the old and new developments and especially from the very successful linear park bordering the development.</p> <p>A typical view picture is attached.</p>		No	

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM
Mr William David Coates	Yes	Yes	Yes	Policy		DS3 (HA15, 16 and 17)		

WHICH TABLE	WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS
	<p>For HA15 I support the provision of structural landscaping, retention of existing trees and hedgerows and provision of an appropriate buffer between built development and Mucklin Wood.</p> <p>HA16 and 17 additionally include provision for substantial planting that makes use of large canopy, native species and naturalistic schemes throughout the site to enhances woodland setting.</p> <p>Noting the location of all sites with the Charnwood Forest and the importance of a network of habitats and corridors between the Forest and the Soar Valley, I would have thought that there should be provision of additional tree and shrub planting (albeit excluding the 'large canopy' element which may restrict views of Mucklin Wood).</p> <p>On this subject I hope there is recognition of the failure of William Davis, to date, to fulfil obligations for planting within the Grange Park development. Planting has indeed taken place, but many trees have twice failed to survive dry periods of weather, so obligations should be included to persist in planting schemes and plans should also be made for ongoing maintenance of such planting for the period beyond when developers can be held responsible. Is the Local Authority to assume responsibility for this?</p>		No

