

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Stavos Ahmed	No	No	No	Policy		4. NPPF 99 (2018 version) Local Green Space sustainable development criteria Paragraph paragraph 9, page 2 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021 4(2) Not sound, not justified			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>The Council's sustainable development criterion relating to a site being 'capable of enduring' as specified in NPPF 99 (2018 version) is not valid or sound in assessing LGS designation.</p> <p>In considering whether a designation is capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period, the planning history and any current planning applications are taken into account.</p> <p>The criterion just says it will collect data with no actual indication of how they are to be applied. What is meant by 'planning history' and how are these data to be used? In what way are 'planning applications to be taken into account'?</p> <p>The criterion is illogical. There is no indication of how any data will be applied. It is clear from LGS documents available online that have been written by other Councils, that the 'capable of enduring' criterion is related to sustainable development; specifically, whether a site is allocated for development in the local plan and so likely to change in terms of land use before the following local plan.</p>	<p>Remove the final paragraph of the 'sustainability assessment' on page 2.</p> <p>This removes the last objection to the Leconfield Road site being assigned Local Green Space designation. It must now be given Local Green Space status.</p>	<p>No</p>	