

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Joseph Shaw	No	No	No	Policy		Local Green Space conclusion for Leconfield 5 Paragraph Leconfield Road, Site A, pages 4-6 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021 4(2) Not sound, not justified			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>The LGS conclusion for Leconfield says the site is</p> <p>if the current planning application was approved it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.</p> <p>This conclusion only considers a specific premise which is not known to be true or false. It does not address whether the site is capable of enduring as required in NPPF 99. This is not a valid argument as it does not address if the site is capable of enduring. If argument is not valid, it is not sound.</p> <p>Consider an analogy. If go to a shop to buy a TV and ask, 'is this TV capable of enduring for 5 years?' and the response is, 'Not if you damage it', does that answer my question?</p>	<p>The idea of basing a local plan on a planning application decision to be taken at some date in the future is irrational. Instead, the conclusion must be that Leconfield is assigned Local Green Space designation.</p>	<p>No</p>	