

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Andrew C. Roberts	Yes	Yes	No	Policy		SUA1			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
<p>Once again Charnwood Borough Council seems determined to offload a disproportionate amount of the housing requirement on Shepshed and the "West Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension". Whilst the latter is a separate policy in the proposed Plan it is illogical to separate it from the policy for Shepshed. The existing clear separation between Shepshed and Loughborough is going to disappear - and it is obvious from the section elsewhere in the Plan that there has been no consideration of retaining the current de facto green wedge between Shepshed and Loughborough.</p> <p>There is no concrete commitment to improving the facilities and infrastructure in Shepshed to support the massive increase in house numbers; the priority for such improvements always seems to favour Loughborough. Shepshed has seen tremendous increases in house numbers over recent years, but other than the proposed "town centre improvements" there has been no recent or planned infrastructure development to speak of - other than an incineration plant which few local residents want. It is widely expected that the traveller site within the West Loughborough SUA will be near to the North East of Shepshed - far closer to the centre of Shepshed than the centre of Loughborough.</p> <p>Shepshed has long been the poor relation of Loughborough and this plan does nothing to address that. I believe therefore that the plan is unsound.</p>	<p>It will be clear from the above comments that in my view "modifications" are unlikely to be sufficient given the serious nature of the concerns expressed. A fundamental rethink is necessary.</p>	<p>No</p>	