FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Paul Herbert	Yes	Yes	No	Policy, Policies Map		Policy DS3	Policies Map 1 - Charnwood Local Plan		

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
There is a disproportionate allocation of housing to Barrow upon Soar (702 homes) compared to other local service centres Mountsorrel (0), Rothley (49), Quorn (75), Sileby (345). 39% of the total housing allocation in the plan for service centres is in Barrow. 702 homes would increase the population of the village by 30%. This level of increase is not sustainable for the village. 702 homes would result in ~1,000 more cars in the village. There are five main routes into the village, but the vast majority of traffic comes from A6. There are only two routes from A6, and both have significant issues. Barrow Road is the main route from the A6, but this road has a single lane bridge (controlled by traffic signals) which already has long tailbacks stretching back to the A6 at peak times. The other road is Slash Lane which floods very frequently, and is also accessed by a single lane bridge. The junction with Cotes Road and the High Street also suffers from long delays around school pick-up. Adding another school at the same location (HA49) will exacerbate that problem.	This plan is not sound due to the excessive allocation of housing to a single village, and the associated increase in population size, and traffic. Rather than forcing 702 new homes into the village, moderate expansion of the existing primary school should be sought to allow a proportion of new homes to be built; but not the 700 needed for a new school.	Yes	I would like to participate in hearing sessions so that I am able to challenge any assertions made by planners.

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Paul Herbert	Yes	Yes	No	Policies Map, Policy		Policy DS3	Policies Map 1 - Charnwood Local Plan		

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
This plan is not sound as there is a disproportionate allocation of housing to Barrow upon Soar (702 homes) compared to other local service centres - Mountsorrel (0), Rothley (49), Quorn (75), Sileby (345). 39% of the total housing allocation in the plan for service centres is in Barrow. This will make the plan undeliverable The reason given for the disproportionate allocation was that the lack of available primary school provision in Barrow necessitates the building of a new school. This same explanation (lack of available primary school provision) was given as the reason Mountsorrel was not assigned any new housing allocation in the Local Plan. This also demonstrates a lack of consistency in the treatment of the two villages. The Local Plan suggests that 700 homes are needed to provide the critical mass to support a new single-form entry school of 210 pupils. However, the latest Ofsted report shows that Hall Orchard Primary School has a capacity of 420 but that the current number of pupils is 522. Since it is 102 pupils over capacity, applying the same ratio as before, it would suggest that only 360 homes are needed to provide the critical mass to support a new school, and to return Hall Orchard to the intended capacity. I raised this point with a planning officer, who mentioned that there was a current application to be submitted to increase the capacity at Hall Orchard to 630. This suggests that there could soon be school provision available, and not require a brand new school to support new housing. Also, the site is currently comprised of only single-storey buildings, and has a large playing field, some of which could be used for new classrooms.	The plan is not justified as reasonable alternatives have not been considered. The moderate development of Hall Orchard would result in sufficient capacity to build a sensible number of houses; not the 700 that are being forced into the village in the current plan.	Yes	I would like to participate in the hearing sessions so that I am able to contribute and challenge planning assertions where appropriate.

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Paul Herbert	No	Yes	No	Policy, Policies Map, Paragraph	Charnwood Local Plan 2021-37 Pre-Submission Draft July 2021 - Chapter 1: "To assist our communities in their engagement with neighbourhood planning and use neighbourhood plans as appropriate to inform planning decisions" Charnwood Local Plan 2021-37 Pre-Submission Draft July 2021 - Paragraph 2.134 Charnwood Local Plan 2021-37 Pre-Submission Draft July 2021 - Paragraph 2.149 Charnwood Local Plan 2021-37 Pre-Submission Draft July 2021 - Paragraph 8.6	Policy DS1 Policy DS3	Policies Map 1 - Charnwood Local Plan		

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
This plan is not sound and does not comply with the duty to co-operate. It is unsound because the housing allocation completely ignores the Barrow upon Soar neighbourhood plan adopted by referendum in 2018. Three of the five sites selected for housing allocation in the Local Plan are entirely outside the limits of development defined in the neighbourhood plan. A fourth site is partially outside of the limits of development. For Charnwood Council to ride roughshod over the recently adopted neighbourhood plan is an affront to the democratic will of the village residents.	The local plan should be modified to remove the housing allocation outside of the limits to development defined in the Barrow upon Soar Neighbourhood Plan.	Yes	I would like to attend the hearing sessions in person so that I may challenge any assertions where appropriate.