SUBMITTED DATE	FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM
17/08/2021 00:00	Mr Ian Kent	Yes	Yes	No	Policy		Consultation on the pre-submission of the local plan		

WHICH TABLE	WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
	1. Tranquillity in the Local Green Space Assessment of Leconfield Road Paragraph Leconfield Road, Site A, pages 4-6 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021 4(2) Not sound, not justified Details NPPF clause 100 (2018 version) sets out the measures of 'local significance' to be used in demonstrating why a Local Green Space is special to the community. The Council's assessment claims that the local significance of tranquillity is not demonstrated. In July 2020, Nanpantan Ward Residents' Group conducted a survey of residents' use of the land and, in an open question, our residents were asked why they valued the field and 22 of the 79 respondents mentioned tranquillity [1]. This is a clear demonstration of local significance.	Change the outcome to 'The tranquillity of the site is of local significance as demonstrated by the local residents.'	No	

FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Ian Kent	Yes	Yes	No	Policy		Consultation on the pre-submission of the local plan			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
12. Local Green Space conclusion for Leconfield 7 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021, Leconfield Road, Site A, pages 4-6 4(2) Not sound, not justified Details The conclusion for Leconfield Local Green Space designation says 'if the current planning application was approved it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.' As 'planning application is accepted' is the same as 'planning permission', the original statement is equivalent to saying 'if the site has planning permission it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.' Saying it can't be a Local Green Space if there is planning permission is something we know already as this is the NPPF guidance. So, what has been added? How can this possibly be a reason for not accepting Leconfield as Local Green Space? Leconfield does not have planning permission. This is a non-sequitur – it does not follow that this site cannot be an LGS just because sites with planning permission can't be Local Green Space.	Leconfield does not have planning permission nor is it identified in the local plan as a site for development. This means it is currently 'capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period'. The conclusion must be changed to say it is capable of enduring and so be designated as LGS.	No	