FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Keith Holland	No	No	No	Policy, Diagram		Policy in document. Leicester & Leicestershire 2050 ?Our Vision for Growth? - Strategic Growth Plan? Shifting the Focus of Development		Anstey. HA43	

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
I strongly oppose the Local Plan, the Anstey area and in particular HA43. 1. Overlooking/loss of privacy [redacted] and overlook a field which historically has been kept for grazing, if the development of the field went ahead, without doubt our house would be overlooked and all privacy for ourselves and our neighbours would be taken from us. 2. Loss of daylight. New houses often are designed as 3story houses, as the land behind us is higher than our property so daylight would be blocked out not only for us but for all of our neighbours too. 3. Scale and Dominance. The scale of the proposed Plan compared to the size of Anstey is way out of any proportion. This would dominate the village and make a huge negative impact on all the villagers lives. The 5 recently finished developments in the village have already added 600 new houses, thereby already increasing the size of Anstey village. Anstey is a village not a town as Charnwood Local Plan seems to want to turn it into. 4. Highway Safety. With the increased traffic the Local Plan would generate there is bound to be an decrease in Highway Safety. The part of Bradgate Road where we live has access next to us into the field behind, the proposed development would no doubt bring traffic down the side of our house, turning it from a quiet side road to a road having a possible 2000 cars, vans and lorries using it. Carbon emissions would very high from these vehicles. Even with a further access it would still be very busy. This illustrates the impact of traffic on just a part of the development in Anstey. 5. Traffic and Parking. Traffic from the recently finished 6 developments in Anstey have already had a major detrimental impact, the Nook has been altered to help with the flow of traffic, but this has not helped significantly. Further development would bring many more thousands of vehicles making the Nook grid locked for quite a time every day. Parking in the Nook is barely adequate now. People would not use the local shops and other facilities, but go elsewhere where they can park up in safety. 6. Noise, Dust and Fumes. The noise, dust and fumes would obviously increase hugely all over Anstey and especially in the Nook when traffic is gridlocked, the atmosphere would be highly polluted, with Carbon Emissions making a huge impact on people's health with heart and respiratory problems increasing. 7. Impact on Character or Appearance of the Area. The wide verge between the "main" Bradgate Road and the side road of Bradgate Road is conservation land with a number of Ash and Oak trees, these are all many years old all with Tree Protection Orders on them . These are a big part of the appearance/character of the area. With the additions that the Local Plan would bring to Anstey, the traffic etc. these would totally change it's appearance and character, turning Anstey from a village to a town. I believe that Anstey residents want to keep Anstey as a village and not have it turned into a town The field behind Bradgate Road is a huge asset in its own right, if anyone bothered to take a look at this field they would find a beautiful undulating piece of land, kept, historically for grazing livestock. If these fields go then our children and children's children etc. will not have these super green spaces that Environment Agencies insist we keep. The Planners should look at Brown Site development not virgin green land, even if this is more expensive to develop.	Continued. 8. Effect on Trees/ Wildlife/ Nature Conservation. [redacted] behind some beautiful old Oak and Ash trees which are growing on Conservation land, coming up from the Green. These trees are the natural habitat for all forms of wildlife, squirrels, woodpeckers etc. and are the roost of bats which are highly protected and should not be disturbed. The trees have Tree Preservation Orders on them. Again Environmental Agencies are advising that trees, green land MUST be preserved and NOT developed. We all know when land is developed trees are among the first to be destroyed. In the field behind our house wildlife come and go as wildlife does, foxes with their young, birds of all varieties, muntjac, frogs and toads in the beck in the middle of the field, this goes underground. 9. Impact on Community and Other Services. The infrastructure of Anstey is not big enough to cope with the magnitude being proposed, it is barely coping now. People have to wait often more than 2 weeks to even have a telephone appointment (this being prior to the Pandemic). How could this much needed service manage with the huge amount of people/houses proposed. There is a school proposed for Anstey on the Local Plan, but we all know that that comes as an afterthought right at the end of the development, there is no mention of medical facilities that would be needed and who would finance these needed requirements. Anstey is at its limit now and cannot cope with anymore development. 10. Government Policy. I would like to quote from " Leicester & Leicestershire 2050 ?Our Vision for Growth? Strategic Growth Plan. Shifting the Focus of Development. To date the majority of new housing in Leicester and Leicestershire has been built on small and medium sized sites in the City, market towns, villages and rural areas. Some of this development has been unplanned. Often these developments make little or no contribution to infrastructure or services and instead rely on existing facilities. This has created significant problems. Some communities feel overwhelmed by the speed and scale of change. Others are disadvantaged by pressures on local schools, health centres and recreation facilities. Congestion on local roads and public transport is a frequent cause of complaint. Our strategy proposed to build more developments in major strategic locations and to reduce the amount that takes place in existing towns,villages and rural areas." Surely this Policy must be taken into consideration in the consultation of the Charnwood Local Plan. Villages must not have pressure put on them to have huge developments. 11. Proposals in the Local Development Plan. I understand the need to improve economy and housing, but Anstey cannot cope with any more developments, there have already been 6 new housing developments within Anstey village itself , providing 600 houses. Services, traffic etc. cannot cope now. The more sensible approach would be to provide housing nearer to city/town centres where Brown sites can be utilised and give better transport for work and help redevelop the centres. I have made my comments and suggestions regarding the development and ask that they be taken into consideration within the consultation of the Local Plan, in particular the part HA43. Keith Holland	Yes	I am a resident of Anstey, I have given many suggestions and comments on this form, I am, therefore a very interested party.