FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mrs Julie Finnemore	No	Yes	Yes	Policy, Policies Map		Development HA66-Rearsby	Development HA66-Rearsby		

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
I wish to express my concerns & objections to proposed planning area HA66 on Gaddesby Lane, Rearsby. This proposed development seems untenable due to the following factors: If entry to the site is via Gaddesby Lane, as seems to be the proposal, the exit for this road forms a T junction with Melton Road, which is the main road through the village. This junction is approached from the right on Melton Road via a blind bend. As I understand it, the Rearsby Bypass was mainly constructed in response to many accidents occurring at this junction leading to serious injuries and even deaths. Surely to increase the flow of traffic from Gaddesby Lane at this junction is complete madness and with in excess of 60 houses being proposed by one developer this increase would involve high numbers of vehicles pulling out of this road into the junction. This scheme therefore would seem to be endangering residents lives. If the entry to the site is via Gaddesby Lane and with construction of the site possibly taking in excess of a year, the numbers of large vehicles, lorries etc using the road would surely add to the danger encountered at the junction with Melton Road whilst construction is in progress. The local plan talks about increasing and protecting areas where people may walk, cycle & horse ride. Currently all these activities freely take place on Gaddesby Lane as traffic is light due to one end being closed off. The road is also a designated cycle & bridle path. If this development takes place then these activities will be severely curtailed and would be made more dangerous by the much higher numbers of vehicles using Gaddesby Lane. The Level of amenities in the village of Rearsby is inadequate to sustain a development of this nature. There is no doctors surgery or shop and the village school is small to accommodate further pupils. The development would also reduce the separation zone between East Goscote and Rearsby, especially when combined with development areas outlined in East Goscote on the plan. I feel that this plan does not comply with the duty to co-operate for the reason that it would seem that once a development proposal is included in the local plan then it is a done deal and despite any concerns or objections from local residents the site will go ahead regardless. Regards, Julie Finnemore		No	