FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr David Thompson	No	No	No	Policy		Local Green Space conclusion for Leconfield 7 Policy Local Plan Local Green Space Assessment 2021, Leconfield Road, Site A, pages 4-6			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
4(2) Not sound, not justified The conclusion for Leconfield Local Green Space designation says 'if the current planning application was approved it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.' As 'planning application is accepted' is the same as 'planning permission', the original statement is equivalent to saying 'if the site has planning permission it would result in the site not being able to endure beyond the end of the plan period.' Saying it can't be a Local Green Space if there is planning permission is something we know already as this is the NPPF guidance. So, what has been added? How can this possibly be a reason for not accepting Leconfield as Local Green Space? Leconfield does not have planning permission. This is a non-sequitur – it does not follow that this site cannot be an LGS just because sites with planning permission can't be Local Green Space.	Leconfield does not have planning permission nor is it identified in the local plan as a site for development. This means it is currently 'capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period'. The conclusion must be changed to say it is capable of enduring and so be designated as LGS.	No	