FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Charles Richard Holdsworth	Yes	No	No	Policy		EV9 Open Spaces, Sport and Recreation; Local Plan Green space assessment 2021 Pages 4-6			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
NPPF 8 makes the assumption of "sustainable development" that includes economic. social and environmental objectives. The social objectives includes the phrasing "fostering a well designed and safe built environment with accessible services and OPEN SPACES THAT REFLECT CURRENT AND FUTURE NEEDS AND SUPPORT COMMUNITIES' HEALTH AND CULTURAL WELL- BEING. Nanpantan has only 2ha of its provision of amenity green space. a shortage of 5.6ha (this revised figure excludes the additional earlier loss of 0.4ha of amenity green space at Kirkstone Drive). We are in a unique position in Nanpantan, as the allocation of 73ha of land to LSEP in the local plan has ensured that the 1.4ha at Leconfield is our last and only unallocated open space. The Council has already failed to meet our "current and future needs" as required in sustainable development. In particular, there is insufficient physical land available to meet more than 1.4ha of the 5.6ha shortfall. Members of the local community have consistently expressed their willingness to protect and manage this much needed open space as Council Officers are well aware of and have noted. Additionally with reference to the status or otherwise of the effect an existing planning application can have on the issue of local green site designation, Council Officers confirmed on 29/3/21 that all references to planning applications having an effect on possible designation of open space had been removed. However, this is not reflected in the statement referring to "sustainability assessment" published on 29/5/21. It is illogical and totally contrary to the Council's stated view that the mere existence of a planning application can stop the designation of an area as LGS, as the deliberate presenting of an application in the future could undermine any future progress or area designation	Sustainable development is ignored. Adopting the Council's local plan would mean insufficient actual physical land to meet significant shortfalls is available. With 73ha of greenfield land in the ward allocated in the local planto the Loughborough Science and Enterprise Park, the 1.4ha at Leconfield is the last area of land available to reduce the existing open space shortfall. This can only be addressed by protecting Leconfield from development. With regard to the "confusion" over the possible effects of planning applications on designation of LGS assessment, the final paragraph of the "sustainability assessment" on page 2 should be removed. This would remove the last objection to Leconfield being assigned Local Green Space designation and the plan should thus formally recognise the LGS status of Leconfield.	No	