From: Dave Collingham [redacted]
Sent: 21 August 2021 16:17
To: localplans@charnwood.gov.uk
Cc: Cllr. James Poland
Subject: 90 Proposed New Houses in Thrussington HA68 & HA67
Attachments: Letter to CBC Planning 21.8.21.doc

Importance: High

Dear Sirs,

Please find attached letter of objection to the above proposed development.

Please add your support to our objection to this development.

Yours faithfully,

David and Jane Collingham.

[redacted]

Local Plans
Charnwood Borough Council
Southfield Road
Loughborough
LE11 2TX
20.8.21

RE: PROPOSED 90 NEW HOUSES IN THRUSSINGTON

Dear Sirs,

With regard to the above proposal, we hereby register our OBJECTION.

We support the Parish Council Working Group's Report that succinctly and comprehensively details the myriad reasons why these proposals should be rejected. We do not intend to go over the same issues stated in the report but would add:

- Old Gate Road offers the only safe walking route for the villagers and is a valued amenity that must be retained.
- All other roads are heavily trafficked and are unsafe for pedestrians. The addition of a potential 180 additional vehicle movements would only exacerbate the traffic problem.
- The situation is made worse by village footpaths being blocked by parked vehicles. The importance of the lane as a safe pedestrian route for families, dog walkers, the elderly and the infirm, has been highlighted by the Covid 19 lockdowns and must not be compromised.
- We have witnessed a huge increase in vehicular traffic in and around our village. The Leicester City training facility and large housing developments in surrounding areas have all contributed. Also we have noted a large increase in high-powered motorcars and motorcycles

using the village for leisure or on test-drives from the prestige motorcar dealerships on the A46.

- Additional housing on the Hoby Road site would be more acceptable but would have to satisfy all the criteria of the Neighbourhood Plan 2018 including the provision of an adequate children's play area, additional vehicle parking, traffic calming measures to all village access roads and a suitable footpath into the village.
- The existing infrastructure of the village would need to be carefully considered to see if it would support further pressure. Of particular concern is the old sewerage pumping station, water supply, electrical supply, flood risk from storm-water surcharge from hard surfaces and control of the inevitable increase in vehicular traffic movements.
- We appreciate that the Council is under pressure from central government to address a perceived housing shortage problem. However, the development proposals for Thrussington are unsound, unsustainable and environmentally unacceptable for all the reasons stated.

Your Faithfully,

Mr. David Collingham

Mrs. Jane Collingham