FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr David Hazlewood	No	Yes	No	Paragraph	HA14				

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
Re HA14: 1. Access to the site is via Park Rd, which is unadopted and maintained, by and at the expense of, the residents. There needs to be a full consultation with all the residents of Par Rd, Henson Close and Cliffe Rd (also unadopted) before this site is considered for any type of development. Should agreement be reached to allow access then all roads will have to be made up to the required Leics County Council standard and then adopted prior to any development of the field to take place. 2. There is a legal minimum road width required for access and neither Cliffe Rd or Henson Close comply. Possibility that Park Rd would also fail to meet this criteria. 3. The bridge across the GCR track divides Park Rd and the additional traffic created by a new development could compromise this structure. Should hte bridge fail then there is no vehicular access to any residences on Henson Close and Cliffe Rd, as well as the greater part of Park Rd. This compromises the health and safety of residents should emergency vehicles be needed. 4. This area cannot support the prospect of all the additional traffic created by a new housing development. 35 houses means at least 70 extra cars, plus the inevitable delivery vehicles etc. These roads were not designed for that. 5. Cycle Route 6 finishes on Park Rd. Add so much extra traffic and this presents additional danger to both cyclists and pedestrians, as the path is very well used. 6. This is a long established quiet neighbourhood which will lose its distinctive character with the add on of a new housing estate, or any decision to include industrial units. 7. We should not be destroying yet more green space, especially in view of the current issues about Climate Change. The field in question is both a wildlife corridor and a public footpath. Both are extremely important to our environment and, the destruction of established trees is a wrong step to take. Any replacements will not absorb carbon dioxide at the same rate as mature trees. 8. The Council should always consider its Duty of Care to the citizenry. Downgrading the environment creates more pollution, this is not a healthy option. 9. This field may not be a flood plain, but it is very damp and quite boggy. The stream at the top of the field sees to that; the water table is high in this area.	HA14 should be removed from the Local Plan as there is no safe, suitable or legal access to the site. Also, any development would fail to provide a safe environment for both local residences and the existing wildlife, in the shape of badgers, foxes, bats, numerous insects etc	No	