FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mr Roy G. Faulkner	No	No	No	Policy, Paragraph	Paragraph 2 in Community Section of Summary	Charnwood Local Plan Summary. Section on Community, point 2			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
My comment refers to the section concerned with 'Community' in the Charnwood Local Plan summary. Point 2 states that there should be an affordable housing requirement of just 30%. This completely arbitrary figure seems totally at odds with experience that any reasonably enquiring person would see on exploring the countryside and on reading the leading newspapers. Surely the country desperately needs 100% affordable housing? The only justification for the 30% figure, as described to me in the Zoom meeting of July 22, is that considerations of 'viability' must be take into account. I presume that this means that builders will not make any money out of it if the percentage of affordable housing goes above 30%. This is just not acceptable. The whole NPPF needs to be revisited, and all Local Plans should be re-drafted to build houses according to the public need, and not builders' needs. Why can we not suspend the Local Plan until the NPPF is revisited on a National Basis to correct its scandalously low proportion of allowable affordable housing?	The NPPF needs to be revisited to change its guidance on the percentage of allowable affordable housing to reflect the country's, and not builders' needs. Until that is done, all Local Plans should be suspended.	Yes	Hearing sessions allow contributors to make their points more effectively.