FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Miss Laura Bates	No	No	No	Paragraph, Policy, Policies Map, Diagram, Table	HA43	HA43	HA43	HA43	HA43

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
Please refer to the attached document. These comments fall within the planning for Groby Road North and South which is also under consultation, the same reasonings and feedback from residents apply. Anstey is simply over populated, local services are under huge strain and without the commitment to support highways the village just can not cope with the number of people / transport these plans propose.	The suggestion would be to create smaller pockets of housing in areas that can be developed without the need of the huge structure Anstey would need should these plans go ahead. There are other Charnwood areas that have highways already in place to cope with the amount of traffic 600 new homes will create. I believe Anstey councillors have proposed this and I am in agreement.	Yes	I represent Peartree Close Anstey and have been close to other developments within this same area over the last few months actively engaging with local councillors.

Laura Bates
[redacted]

HA43

With reference to notification of HA43 masterplan. I remain deeply saddened by the proposal and strongly object.

My primary objections are through fear that Anstey is now already less of a village and bordering on an extension to the city. To cope with over 600 additional homes, we need to improve local amenities, traffic measures, local services and have a robust plan in place to tackle climate change and pollution.

Summary of objections

- Anstey has already grown considerably over the last 10 years. Three new developments have been built in recent years on both sides of Cropston Road, Two sites along Gynsill Leicester Road with links to Anstey.

- [redacted] I know that some of the public services are already in huge demand. The Drs surgery is difficult to gain access to unless appointments are booked well in advance and its often days before seeing anyone. Having two young children this is not ideal and I feel that adding residents to this extent of numbers will only cause a massive strain on this vital service.
  The surgery also serves residents in surrounding areas including Thurcaston to which they have plans to build a further 600 homes! (Thurcaston use Anstey services and amenities but the new development falls under Leicester City Council)

- Schools are also of a huge concern.
  Knowing that there are only 2 primary schools in Anstey, 1 which has already expanded capacity by doubling year groups and the other not able to expand I only wonder where further children in the village will be able to gain access.
  Again, bearing in mind the surrounding villages also use these schools. The plans outline a further primary school, but it does not comment on numbers. Where do pupils continue after aged 11 as there is just 1 secondary school?

- Flooding –In more recent years we have seen flooded pathways, fields and school playgrounds and fields.
  There would need to be substantial measures taken to ensure the flood water does not increase with new developments on drainage land as the water hits worsened areas of

Anstey around Latimer Primary School and at the brook. Is there a guarantee that flooding will not impact Latimer school where pupils are forced to have 'indoor play' on far too many occasions?

- The road and levels of traffic is already chaotic. Our roads are gradually returning to pre Covid times with the nook becoming gridlocked. Once the development has been completed there will be at least 500 cars traveling to and from the development. Most residents travel though the village of Anstey using the nook daily. These roads are already overpopulated during rush hours. The new traffic light system has eased congestion slightly from Bradgate Road but has severely jammed Cropston Road. We cannot add any more cars to the existing roads during busy commutes.
  Groby Road is dangerous at both ends leading to the A50 and Bradgate Road. Groby Road itself has obstacles with parked cars blocking access, making it one way only plus a poor lack of visibility often just having to risk it!
  The only solution I can see is having Groby Road made into a main access in both directions, not just the one-way slip road we have at present.

To summarise this email, I object to the proposed development given that Anstey is already bulging with new homes and residents requiring access to services, schools and using the inadequate roads.

Regards,

Laura Bates