FULL NAME	DUTY TO COOPERATE	LEGALLY COMPLIANT	SOUND	WHICH PART	WHICH PARAGRAPHS	WHICH POLICY	WHICH POLICY MAP	WHICH DIAGRAM	WHICH TABLE
Mrs Amy Freeman-Hughes	No	Yes	No	Policy		Policy DS3(HA17)			

WHY PLAN NOT SOUND	MODIFICATIONS	HEARINGS	HEARING SESSIONS
I am not a professional of Law so cannot comment on if the proposal is legally sound although had to tick an answer for this form. I do however have several concerns asa local resident relating to this proposal: 1) Impact on the environment. I cannot see any specific solutions to maintain biodiversity within the area other than the planting of trees mentioned. Given the existing diversity I struggle to understand how more housing would result in net diversity. Furthermore I do not feel that planting trees will help to preserve the view from Outwoods which is truly breathtaking and this special place will be lost. Are there not any brown spaces that can be used in neighbouring areas instead of this green space that will be gone forever? 2) Significant impact on health wellbeing of existing locals. This area is accessed by a large amount of local people using the space as a break from urban areas, place to exercise (mountain biking, running, walking etc) and walkway to the national forest. I question how this will be maintained if the proposals go ahead. This would impact health, wellbeing and could result in more traffic as people would need to drive to the national forest instead of having it accessible from their doorstep. This is not in line with your vision set out in the opening part of the plan. 2) Infrastructure i) Health. I cannot see any clear evidence that the will be any extra provision for healthcare. As I am sure you are aware, Loughborough as all health services is already stressed. I am a worker within the NHS and I feel frustrated about the lack of access to GP care within the area. I have been unable to access our GP service when my children have been sick and instead had to resort to long waits at the walk in centre. Further housing without better provision for basic healthcare is unacceptable. ii) Education. There is no mention as far as I can see of secondary education provision. Only reference to additional primary provision. iii) Traffic. The suggested increase in housing would result in more traffic within the area. Would the existing road system support this as Loughborough is already a traffic heavy town with limited access in and out particularly to the M1? Finally I would like to say we have already seen evidence that building work has begun. Is this allowed when the consultation has not yet closed?	1) Use brown space not green space. 2) Consider provision of secondary education 3) Use an area with existing travel links including roads 4) Give specific plans for how healthcare provision will be meet and have funding set aside.	No	